# RIO GRANDE DISPUTE RESOLUTION TEAM 10410 Perrin Beitel Road, Rm 1059 San Antonio, TX 78284-9608 PHONE 210-368-1784, 210-368-5547, FAX 210-368-8525





# STEP B DECISION

Step B Team:

USPS:

**Rose Barner** 

NALC: Jim Ruetze

District:

**Rio Grande** 

Decision:

**USPS Number:** 

Grievant:

Branch Grievance Number:

Branch: Installation:

**Delivery Unit:** 

State: Incident Date:

Informal Step A Meeting: Formal Step A Meeting:

Received at Step B: Step B Decision Date: Issue Code:

NALC Subject Code:

RESOLVE

G19N-4G-C 2125 2623

**Class Action** 421-1803-21

421

San Antonio

**NECA Texas** 

05/04/2021 05/12/2021

06/04/2021 06/08/2021

06/30/2021 19.2000 600207

# ISSUE:

Did management violate Article 3, 15 and 19 of the National Agreement (via the Administrative Support Manual (ASM)) by requiring employees to sign a locally developed form? If so, what is the remedy?

### **DECISION:**

The Dispute Resolution Team (DRT) mutually agreed to RESOLVE this grievance. There was insufficient evidence to determine there was a violation. However, the locally developed form in the file has no indication it was an authorized form that had the required clearance through the appropriate area Human Resources manager in accordance with ASM 324.2 and Article 19, Local Policies. If the form has not been approved in accordance with that manual and is in use, the form must be discontinued and withdrawn. See the DRT Explanation below.

# **EXPLANATION:**

This class action grievance concerns a "Welcome Back Completion Form" that documents certification by management that a welcome back packet was conducted with an employee and the PS Form 3972 was reviewed. The form also requires the signature of the supervisor and the employee.

The union filed this grievance to protest management's use of the locally developed form and the requirement of an employee's signature. Unable to achieve a resolution through the Informal and Formal A steps of the grievance procedure, the union appealed to Step B.

The union at Formal Step A contends management violated Article 3, 15 and 19 when they required employees to sign or fill out locally developed forms. Carriers are being required to fill out and sign these locally developed forms recently created by the NECA

## RIO GRANDE DISPUTE RESOLUTION TEAM 10410 Perrin Beitel Road, Rm 1059 San Antonio, TX 78284-9608 PHONE 210-368-1784, 210-368-5547, FAX 210-368-8525

supervisors and managers. The union contends when a carrier calls in sick or ask for sick leave, they were made to sign the "Welcome Back" packet. These locally developed forms are in violation of the contract and the prior Step B decision where they resolved that management violated Article 19 using an unapproved locally developed form.

The union requests management cease usage of locally developed forms and stop collecting personally identifiable information.

Management did meet at Formal Step A. However, no contentions were provided.

**The DRT** reviewed the file and determined there was insufficient evidence to support a violation of the contract. However, the locally developed form in the file has no indication it was an authorized form that had the required clearance through the appropriate area Human Resources manager in accordance with ASM 324.2 and Article 19, *Local Policies*.

The Administrative Support Manual (ASM) includes the following relevant language:

# 324.2 Coordination and Clearance

The originating office obtains the necessary clearances from other affected organizational units before a new or revised form is approved. Required clearances include:

Type of Form	Required Clearance
Forms that affect wages, hours, and other terms and conditions of employment, or that concern any work and/or time standards or studies relating to any bargaining unit employees.	memo (see MI AS-310-96-3,
PS and local forms that:  a. Collect personally identifiable information about a customer, employee, or other individual (such as name or Social Security number) directly from those individuals.  b. Are completed by a customer, employee, or other individuals.	AS-310-96-3) for Privacy Actionsiderations (for details see Handbook AS-353, Guide to Privacy, the Freedom of Information Act, and Records Management).
PS forms that are stocked in the material distribution centers.	Through Inventory Management, Purchasing and Materials, Head-quarters, on Form 189, Stocking Plan for Directives and Forms.

Page 19-2 of the JCAM provides, in relevant part:

Local Policies. Locally developed policies may not vary from nationally established handbook and manual provisions (National Arbitrator Aaron, H1N-

# RIO GRANDE DISPUTE RESOLUTION TEAM 10410 Perrin Beitel Road, Rm 1059 San Antonio, TX 78284-9608 PHONE 210-368-1784, 210-368-5547, FAX 210-368-8525

NAC-C-3, February 27, 1984, C-04162). Additionally, locally developed forms must be approved consistent with the Administrative Support Manual (ASM) and may not conflict with nationally developed forms found in handbooks and manuals.

National Arbitrator Garrett held in MB-NAT-562, January 19, 1977 (C-00427), that "the development of a new form locally to deal with stewards' absences from assigned duties on union business—as a substitute for a national form embodied in an existing manual (and thus in conflict with that manual)—thus falls within the second paragraph of Article 19. Since the procedure there set forth has not been invoked by the Postal Service, it would follow that the form must be withdrawn.

Based on its review of the case file, the DRT mutually agreed to the decision on page one of this document.

Rose Barner USPS Step B Representative

Joro oteh o Kehtesettat

cc:

LR Manager, Southern Area NALC Region 10 NBA Rio Grande District HR Manager Rio Grande District LR Manager USPS Formal A Monee Davis

**Grievance File Contents** 

PS Form 8190 – 2 pgs Union Contentions – 3 pgs Welcome Back Packet – 5 pgs Deems Desirable List Prior Step B Decision – 11 pgs Jim Ryletze NALØ Step B Representative

NALC Branch President NALC Formal A Jose Perez Manager, Rio Grande District Postmaster DRT File

Formal Step A Meeting Request Time Limit Extension – 2 pgs Informal Step A Meeting Request Request for Information