DALLAS DISTRICT DISPUTE RESOLUTION TEAM

Schenequa Neal USPS Representative 1112 18th Street Plano, TX 75074 PH # 972-578-4703 Fax #972-578-8054

Andy Alvarado NALC Representative







STEP B DECISION

Step B Team: Andy Alvarado

Schenequa Neal

70

<u>District:</u> Rio Grande <u>Deciding District</u>: Dallas

Formal Step A Parties NALC: E Quinonez USPS: J Vasquez Decision: RESOLVE

USPS Number: G11N-4G-C 16097802

Grievant: Class Action

Branch Grievance Number: 421-074-16

Branch Number: 421 Installation: San Marcos

Delivery Unit: San Marcos 78666

State: Texas

Incident Date: 11/28/2015

Date Informal Step A Initiated: 01/19/2016
Formal Step A Meeting Date: 02/18/2016
Date Received at Step B: 02/22/2016
Step B Decision Date: 03/01/2016
USPS Issue Code: 08.5410
NALC Issue Code: 120051

NALC Issue Code: 120051 Original Step B Received Date: Date Sent To Assisting Team:

ISSUE: Did Management violate Article 8 of the National Agreement when they assigned overtime to the Work Assignment and Non ODL carriers prior to working the ODL carriers the week of 11/28/2015 through 12/04/2015? If so, what is the appropriate remedy?

<u>DECISION:</u> The Dispute Resolution Team (DRT) has <u>**RESOLVED**</u> this grievance. There was a violation. The Dispute Resolution Team reached common ground on the remedy. The following employees will be compensated the following lump sum amounts to be entered at the Step B Level of the Grievance / Arbitration Procedure:

| Employee | EIN | Amount |
|-------------|----------|--------|
| T Harris | 04043170 | 8 |
| E Quinonez | 02215750 | 104 |
| J Scott | 04309188 | 26 |
| M Meditz | 04348359 | 103 |
| G Folster | 02123533 | 69 |
| P Calderon | 03660409 | 104 |
| D Romero Sr | 02201151 | 55 |
| D Yanez | 02187333 | 35 |

EXPLANATION: For the dates of 11/28/2015 through 12/04/2015 non-ODL and Work Assignment carriers worked off assignment overtime and the non-scheduled day while ODL carriers were available.

The union contends management violated articles 8.5.D, 8.5.G and Article 3 of the National Agreement by improperly utilizing the non ODL and Work Assignment carrier to carry auxiliary assistance on/off their assigned routes and into overtime status. They state there were ODL carriers available to work the mandated overtime.

The union contends for the week there were several hours of violations. They state the weekly schedule shows routes open daily. They state had management utilized the auxiliary assistance and ODL carrier properly, no violations would have occurred. They state management is not mandating based on the junior employee.

The union requests an award of compensatory time off with pay (at the straight time rate). They request the non ODL carriers who lost time off due to being improperly mandated to work into overtime on another route be made whole. They request the ODL carriers be awarded overtime and penalty overtime pay up to the maximum daily and weekly work-hour limit for the missed overtime opportunities.

Management contends during the week, there were only 5 ODL carriers in the San Marcos Post Office with 18 city delivery routes plus a collection route and the dorms. They state the ODL carriers were utilized to the fullest extent possible without having service failures and having carriers out delivery in the dark. They state there were 2 carriers on annual leave.

National Agreement

8.5.D If the voluntary "Overtime Desired" list does not provide sufficient qualified people, qualified full-time regular employees not on the list may be required to work overtime on a rotating basis with the first opportunity assigned to the junior employee.

National Agreement

85 G

- G. Full-time employees not on the "Overtime Desired" list may be required to work overtime only if all available employees on the "Overtime Desired" list have worked up to twelve (12) hours in a day or sixty (60) hours in a service week. Employees on the "Overtime Desired" list:
- 1. may be required to work up to twelve (12) hours in a day and sixty (60) hours in a service week (subject to payment of penalty overtime pay set forth in Section 4.D for contravention of Section 5.F); and
- 2. excluding December, shall be limited to no more than twelve (12) hours of work in a day and no more than sixty (60) hours of work in a service week.

However, the Employer is not required to utilize employees on the "Overtime Desired" list at the penalty overtime rate if qualified employees on the "Overtime Desired" list who are not yet entitled to penalty overtime are available for the overtime assignment.

JCAM page 8-15

Implementing Memorandum on "Letter Carrier Paragraph." A memorandum of understanding signed December 20, 1988 (M-00884) further explained the requirement to seek to use auxiliary assistance before requiring letter carriers not on the ODL or Work Assignment List to work overtime on their own route on a regularly scheduled day. Management must seek to use all of the following to provide auxiliary assistance:

- · part-time flexibles at the straight-time or regular overtime rate
- city carrier assistant employees at the straight-time or regular overtime rate

available full-time regular employees such as unassigned or reserve regulars at the straight-time rate

· full-time carriers from the Overtime Desired List at the regular overtime rate

However, the memo states that management does not have to use ODL carriers to provide auxiliary assistance if such an assignment would mean that the ODL carriers would be working *penalty overtime*. In that limited situation—if no auxiliary assistance is available without going into penalty overtime—management can require full-time regular carriers not on the Overtime Desired List to work overtime on their own routes on a regularly scheduled day. Remember that this limited exception applies only when a full-time non-ODL letter carrier is required to work overtime on his/her own assignment on a regularly scheduled day.

Before requiring a non-ODL carrier to work overtime on a non-scheduled day or off his/her own assignment, management must seek to use a carrier from the ODL, even if the ODL carrier would be working penalty overtime

The grievance file contained the Overtime Alert Report showing the amount of overtime worked.

The grievance file contained the employee moves report showing the assignments for each carrier and the amount of time spent on that assignment.

There were carriers on the non ODL and Work Assignment list working overtime on another assignment.

Most of the ODL carriers had approached/reached the 60 hour maximum for the week therefore were no longer available for any additional time.

The Dispute Resolution Team reviewed all evidence and arguments in the grievance file and rendered the above decision.

Andy Alvarado

NALC Step B Representative

Schenequa Neal

USPS Step B Representative

cc: Rio Grande DRT

Contents of the grievance file: PS Form 8190, NALC contentions, Informal Step A request for documentation/steward time/Informal Step A meeting, Request for a Formal Step A meeting, Weekly schedule, Overtime Sign-Up Sheet, Overtime Alert, Employee Moves Report, Hours Analysis, NALC off assignment and Overtime Hours, NALC hours available sheet, NODL/Work Assignment off assignment, Overtime hours request remedy, USPS contentions, PS Form 3996, leave/return sheet, time limit extensions, Table of contents, END.

Show History ☑ Paid (Back from Payroll without error) ☑ Payroll Error (Back from Payroll with error) Payroll Processed Payout Request History for Grievance ☑ New (Not yet sent to Payroll) ☑ Pending (Not back from Payroll) ☑ Submitted (Received acknowledgment from Payroll, awaiting processing) Not Processed By Payroll 16097802 < Back

New. Pending and Submiffed Rennests

| 04440 | | App | GATS App Request | Last | T. | | Relevant | Requested | O ₂ to | | | |
|-------------------------|----------|-----------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------|-------------|---|-----------|-------------------|---------|----------|------|
| Status | | Seq | Code Seq Amount | Name | Name | 2 2 2 | | By | 26 | | January. | |
| New | | N | \$8.00 | HARRIS | TAMMY | 2996 | 2996 PP26 FY2015 KXYCDG | | 03/01/2016 | Details | Delete | Edit |
| New | | 7 | \$26.00 | SCOTT | JOSHUA | 3330 | PP26 FY2015 KXYCDG | | 03/01/2016 | Details | Delete | Edit |
| New | | 2 | \$35.00 | YANEZ | DANIEL | 2066 | PP26 FY2015 KXYCDG | KXYCDG | 03/01/2016 | Details | Delete | Edit |
| New | | CI | \$55.00 | ROMERO | DAVID | 0864 | PP26 FY2015 KXYCDG | | 03/01/2016 | Details | Delete | Edit |
| New | | | \$69.00 | FOLSTER | GREGORY | 9372 | PP26 FY2015 KXYCDG | KXYCDG | 03/01/2016 | Details | Delete | Edit |
| New | | 2 | \$103.00 MEDIT. | MEDITZ | LISA | 6976 | PP26 FY2015 | KXYCDG | 03/01/2016 | Details | Delete | Edit |
| New | | 2 | \$104.00 | \$104.00 QUINONEZ | EDWARD | 0800 | PP26 FY2015 | KXYCDG | 03/01/2016 | Details | Delete | Edit |
| New | | N | \$104.00 | CALDERON | PRUDENCIO | 3454 | \$104.00 CALDERON PRUDENCIO 3454 PP26 FY2015 KXYCDG | 1 | 03/01/2016 | Details | Delete | Edit |
| Total New: \$504.00 | ew: \$5(| 04.00 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Pending: \$0.00 | ending: | \$0.0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Submitted: \$0.00 | ubmitte | d: \$0 | 00. | | | | | | | | | |

| | - |
|--------|--------|
| | |
| | |
| | _ |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| nce | VIII. |
| nance | |
| om Fi | |
| S fro | |
| Errors | |
| and | |
| 300 | |
| ۵. ا | ****** |

| Status Error or App Request Amount PP Last First SSN Relevant Requested Date Warning Seq Amount Paid Name Name PP By Requested |
|--|
| No Data |
| Total Paid: \$0.00 |
| Total Error: \$0.00 |