RIO GRANDE DISPUTE RESOLUTION TEAM 10410 Perrin Beitel Road, Rm 1059 San Antonio, TX 78284

PHONE: 210-368-5477, 210-368-1784; FAX: 210-368-8525







STEP B DECISION

Step B Team:

USPS:

Rose Barner NALC:

Jim Ruetze

District:

Rio Grande

Decision:

USPS Number:

Grievant: Branch Grievance Number:

Branch:

Installation: **Delivery Unit:**

State:

Incident Date:

Informal Step A Meeting: Formal Step A Meeting:

Received at Step B: Step B Decision Date:

Issue Code:

NALC Subject Code:

RESOLVE

G16N-4G-C 2041 2994

Class Action 421

421-1172-20

San Antonio

Beacon Hill Station

08/22/2020-08/28/2020

09/11/2020 09/23/2020 09/25/2020

10/23/2020

08.5450 120051

ISSUE: Did management violate Articles 8.5.D and 5.G of the National Agreement when working non-Overtime Desired List (ODL) and Work Assignment (WA) carriers on and off their routes by improperly assigning overtime during the week of August 22-28, 2020? If so, what is the remedy?

DECISION: The Dispute Resolution Team (DRT) mutually agreed to **RESOLVE** this grievance. The case file evidenced a violation of the National Agreement. The letter carriers in the table below are compensated in the amounts by their names. Non-ODL carriers who were improperly assigned to work overtime were compensated an additional 100% of their straight time rate. ODL carriers were compensated for the missed overtime opportunities at the rate of pay they would have earned if they had performed the work. Management shall assign overtime consistent with the provisions of Article 8.5. All payments associated with these remedies have been processed at Step B through GATS. See the DRT Explanation below.

CARRIER	EIN	REMEDY	CARRIER	EIN	REMEDY	
Castaneda, J	04466169	\$137.47	Regalado, DP	02152054	\$257.68	
Montalvo	04339668	\$129.51	Sayed, D	04632780	\$168.59	
Orta, JF	02076142	\$148.68	Reyes, R	01949924	\$163.43	
Roller, JC	02176046	\$65.49	Gonzalez, E	04350827	\$233.64	
Rohrbeck, ML	03515425	\$290.28	Ramos, LA	03339115	\$277.89	
Mazuca, RR	04360805	\$163.43	Moreno Lozano, JD	04491164	\$360.49	
Matthies, KJ	01966651	\$152.22	Zander, D	02114220	\$340.14	
Childress, GB	02353498	\$29.50	Johnson, DH	03324042	\$346.33	

RIO GRANDE DISPUTE RESOLUTION TEAM 10410 Perrin Beitel Road, Rm 1059 San Antonio, TX 78284

PHONE: 210-368-5477, 210-368-1784; FAX: 210-368-8525

Childs, ME	02380911	\$205.03	Rodriguez, R	01988799	\$198.09
Zapata, JJ	02148756	\$75.52	Rodriguez, GJ	03110662	\$189.98
Johnson, S	01977389	\$159.60	Romero, JP	04350847	\$268.45
Venegas, E	04298245	\$73.46	Flores, E	04629941	\$276.12
Olivas, D	04618971	\$130.39	Keating, ME	04511992	\$84.37
Perales, AM	03593848	\$146.91	Vallejo, ZD	04647116	\$176.12

EXPLANATION: This grievance concerns the assignment of overtime among full-time letter carriers at Beacon Hill Station in San Antonio, Texas during the week of August 15-21, 2020. During the week cited, management assigned overtime to non-ODL and WA carriers off their assignments while ODL carriers were available at the regular overtime rate, and ODL carriers were available at the penalty overtime rate.

The union contended at Formal Step A management violated Article 8 of the National Agreement, 8.5.D and 8.5.G of the Joint Contract Administration Manual (JCAM) and M-00884 when they did not maximize the ODL and mandated non ODL/WA carriers to carry overtime on their own routes and carry auxiliary assistance on other routes during the work week of August 15-21, 2020 prior to fully utilizing the ODL and City Carrier Assistants (CCAs).

The union requested all ODL and CCA carriers be awarded overtime and penalty overtime. The union requested an award of 100% at the straight rate of pay for the aggrieved non ODL and/or WA.

Management at Formal Step A contended there were numerous unscheduled call-ins during the week in question, as much as 8 per day. Further, the average unscheduled leave for carriers at Beacon Hill was 1.96 from January through February 2020 prior to the unprecedented coronavirus pandemic. Management contended the union listed carrier Sayed as being available for OT/POT, however, this employee was not at the Beacon Hill Station on Friday August 21. The employee has a T-6 schedule of 3 days at the Beacon Hill Station and one day at the Hackberry Station and one day at the Cedar Elm Station. Management further contended Carrier Regalado was not available through the week because he has medical restrictions that limit him to the amount of physical activity required for regular letter carriers. Management asserts it is only obligated to provide 8 hours of work for this employee.

The DRT reviewed the case file and determined there was a violation of Article 8.5 for the week of August 15-21, 2020 when the overtime among full-time carriers were not assigned in accordance with the provisions of Article 8.5. However, the DRT did adjust the remedy to reflect the uncontroverted management contentions in the file. Overtime for non-ODL carriers on their own assignments is governed by the Letter Carrier Paragraph, which is found on pages 8-14 and 8-15 of the JCAM:

The "Letter Carrier Paragraph." For many years Article 8.5.C.2.d also gave management the right to require a letter carrier working on his/her own route on a regularly scheduled day to work mandatory overtime rather than assigning the

RIO GRANDE DISPUTE RESOLUTION TEAM 10410 Perrin Beitel Road, Rm 1059 San Antonio, TX 78284 PHONE: 210-368-5477, 210-368-1784; FAX: 210-368-8525

overtime to a carrier from the Overtime Desired List. However, in the Overtime Memorandum first negotiated as part of the 1984 National Agreement, the Postal Service and the NALC added the following qualification, known as the "letter carrier paragraph."

In the Letter Carrier Craft, where management determines that overtime or auxiliary assistance is needed on an employee's route on one of the employee's regularly scheduled days and the employee is not on the overtime desired list, the employer will seek to utilize auxiliary assistance, when available, rather than requiring the employee to work mandatory overtime:

(The complete text of this memorandum is reprinted at the end of this article.)

National Arbitrator Mittenthal ruled in H4N-NA-C 21, June 26, 1986 (C-06297), that the letter carrier paragraph is an enforceable obligation.

Implementing Memorandum on "Letter Carrier Paragraph." A memorandum of understanding signed December 20, 1988 (M-00884) further explained the requirement to seek to use auxiliary assistance before requiring letter carriers not on the ODL or Work Assignment List to work overtime on their own route on a regularly scheduled day. Management must seek to use all of the following to provide auxiliary assistance:

- part-time flexibles at the straight-time or regular overtime rate
- city carrier assistant employees at the straight-time or regular overtime rate
- available full-time regular employees such as unassigned or reserve regulars at the straight-time rate
- full-time carriers from the Overtime Desired List at the regular overtime rate

However, the memo states that management does not have to use ODL carriers to provide auxiliary assistance if such an assignment would mean that the ODL carriers would be working penalty overtime. In that limited situation—if no auxiliary assistance is available without going into penalty overtime—management can require full-time regular carriers not on the Overtime Desired List to work overtime on their own routes on a regularly scheduled day. Remember that this limited exception applies only when a full-time non-ODL letter carrier is required to work overtime on his/her own assignment on a regularly scheduled day.

The assignment of overtime to non-ODL carriers off their assignments or on a non-scheduled day is also discussed on page 8-15:

Before requiring a non-ODL carrier to work overtime on a non-scheduled day or off his/her own assignment, management **must seek to use a carrier from the ODL**, **even if the ODL carrier would be working penalty overtime** (Article 8.5.D). [Emphasis Added]

RIO GRANDE DISPUTE RESOLUTION TEAM 10410 Perrin Beitel Road, Rm 1059 San Antonio, TX 78284

PHONE: 210-368-5477, 210-368-1784; FAX: 210-368-8525

For Work Assignment carriers, the following JCAM language from page 8-22 addresses the assignment of overtime off their assignment or on a non-scheduled day:

The Work Assignment List was established for full-time letter carriers who only want to work overtime on their own assignment on regularly scheduled days. Signing up for the Work Assignment overtime does not create any entitlement or obligation to work overtime on a non-scheduled day. For purposes of overtime on a non-scheduled day or on other than their own assignment, carriers on the Work Assignment list are treated exactly the same as any other full-time carriers not on the Overtime Desired List—They may only be required to work overtime under the provisions of Article 8.5.D. [Emphasis in Original].

Based on its review of the case file, the DRT agreed to the decision and remedy above.

Rose Barner

USPS Step B Representative

Jim Ruetze

NALC Step B Representative

cc:

LR Manager, Southern Area NALC Region 10 NBA Rio Grande District HR Manager Rio Grande District LR Manager USPS Formal Step A Michael R. Moreno

NALC Branch President NALC Formal Step A Rigoberto Hidalgo Manager, Rio Grande District Postmaster DRT File

Grievance File Contents

PS Form 8190
Union Contentions
Donald Barrett Award
Union OT Worksheets/Remedy
Employee Moves Report
Hours Analysis Report

Carrier Schedule
ODL
Previous Grievance Settlements
Management Contentions
Formal/Informal A Meeting Request

Payout Request History for Grievance

20412994

HELP

no data

Not Processed By Payroll

- ☑ New (Not yet sent to Payroll)
- ☑ Pending (Not back from Payroll)
- Submitted (Received acknowledgment from Payroll, awaiting processing)

Payroll Processed

- ☑ Paid (Back from Payroll without error)
- ☑ Payroll Error (Back from Payroll with error)

< Back

Show History

New, Pending and Submitted Requests

Status		Request Amount	Last Name	First Name	SSN	Relevant PP	Requested By	Date Requested	
New	2	\$29.50	CHILDRESS	GREGORY	8189	PP18 FY2020	YSZ1BC	10/23/2020	
New	2	\$65.49	ROLLER	JEFFREY	6108	PP18 FY2020	YSZ1BC	10/23/2020	
New	2	\$73.46	VENEGAS	EMILIO	2177	PP18 FY2020	YSZ1BC	10/23/2020	
New	2	\$75.52	ZAPATA	JOSEPH	5528	PP18 FY2020	YSZ1BC	10/23/2020	
New	2	\$84.37	KEATING	MICHELLE	5237	PP18 FY2020	YSZ1BC	10/23/2020	
New	2	\$129.51	MONTALVO	AMANDA	7067	PP18 FY2020	YSZ1BC	10/23/2020	, and the second
New	2	\$130.39	OLIVAS	DANIEL	5744	PP18 FY2020	YSZ1BC	10/23/2020	·
New	2	\$137.47	CASTANEDA	JAIRO	7233	PP18 FY2020	YSZ1BC	10/23/2020	
New	2	\$146.91	PERALES	ALONSO	6676	PP18 FY2020	YSZ1BC	10/23/2020	
New	2	\$148.68	ORTA	JOE	8333	PP18 FY2020	YSZ1BC	10/23/2020	
New	2	\$152.22	MATTHIES	KEVIN	8828	PP18 FY2020	YSZ1BC	10/23/2020	
New	2	\$159.60	JOHNSON	SILAS	4046	PP18 FY2020	YSZ1BC	10/23/2020	
New	2	\$163.43	REYES	RAUL	0743	PP18 FY2020	YSZ1BC	10/23/2020	
New	2	\$163.43	MAZUCA	ROGER	9471	PP18 FY2020	YSZ1BC	10/23/2020	
New	2	\$168.59	SAYED	DANISH	7172	PP18 FY2020	YSZ1BC	10/23/2020	
New	2	\$176.12	VALLEJO	ZACHARY	8409		YSZ1BC	10/23/2020	

TO A PART OF THE P		The state of the s				PP18 FY2020		
New	2	\$189.98	RODRIGUEZ	GUILLERMO	4620	PP18 FY2020	YSZ1BC	10/23/2020
New	2	\$198.09	RODRIGUEZ	RENE	2960	PP18 FY2020	YSZ1BC	10/23/2020
New	2	\$205.03	CHILDS	MARY	1543	PP18 FY2020	YSZ1BC	10/23/2020
New	2	\$233.64	GONZALES	ERNEST	5355	PP18 FY2020	YSZ1BC	10/23/2020
New	2	\$257.68	REGALADO	DAVID	0338	PP18 FY2020	YSZ1BC	10/23/2020
New	2	\$268.45	ROMERO	JONATHAN	8754	PP18 FY2020	YSZ1BC	10/23/2020
New	2	\$276.12	FLORES	ERICK	8001	PP18 FY2020	YSZ1BC	10/23/2020
New	2	\$277.89	RAMOS	LUIS	6123	PP18 FY2020	YSZ1BC	10/23/2020
New	2	\$290.28	ROHRBECK	MATTHEW	3249	PP18 FY2020	YSZ1BC	10/23/2020
New	2	\$340.14	ZANDER	DARRYL	0306	PP18 FY2020	YSZ1BC	10/23/2020
New	2	\$346.33	JOHNSON	DELANO	8715	PP18 FY2020	YSZ1BC	10/23/2020
New	2	\$360.49	MORENO LOZANO	JOSE	1693	PP18 FY2020	YSZ1BC	10/23/2020

Total New: \$5,248.81

Total Pending: \$0.00

Total Submitted: \$0.00

Paid and Errors from Finance

Status	Error or Warning	App Seq	Request Amount	Amount Paid	PP Paid	Last Name	First Name	SSN	Relevant PP	Requested By	Date Requested
No Dat	a	***************************************		The control of the co					I bearing the second se		Lanca and the second of the se
	ישואי פט טט		**************************************	for the second second second			Michael Commission Com				**************************************
I otal P	aid: \$0.00'								4		